## **EXHIBIT P**

## In re: MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al., f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al., No. 09-50026

## Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Affirmative Designations of the Deposition Testimony of Matthew J. Williams and the GUC Trust and New GM's Objections Thereto

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
Affirmative 2	ipating Unitholders' Designations	GUC Trust and New GM's Objections
Designation Begin	Designation End	
12:7	12:17	Vague and ambiguous; Compound (FRE 611(a)); Lack of foundation (FRE 602) (12:13-17).
12:21	13:10	
16:3	16:7	
19:21	20:3	
29:14	29:25	
30:6	30:20	
56:2	57:3	
59:6	59:10	Vague and ambiguous; Compound (FRE 611(a)); Lack of foundation (FRE 602).
59:14	59:17	Designation of answer at 59:14-17 is incomplete.
59:20	60:15	
63:2	63:16	
64:4	64:11	Lack of foundation and personal knowledge (FRE 602) (64:4-10).
64:16	64:24	
65:18	65:23	
66:1	66:11	
66:24	67:8	Lack of foundation and personal knowledge (FRE 602); Mischaracterizes testimony.
67:13	68:1	•
68:18	69:5	
69:16	69:19	
69:23	70:7	Lack of foundation (FRE 602); Mischaracterizes testimony (70:5-7).
70:10	70:15	
80:2	80:5	Lack of foundation (FRE 602); Mischaracterizes testimony.

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
	ipating Unitholders' Designations	GUC Trust and New GM's Objections
<b>Designation Begin</b>	<b>Designation End</b>	
80:10	80:17	
85:18	86:9	
90:8	91:8	
93:18	94:2	
99:6	99:13	
99:15	99:16	
101:1	101:8	
103:13	103:24	
104:18	105:2	
130:15	130:24	
136:10	137:7	
144:24	145:3	Asked & Answered (FRE 403)
145:7	145:9	Asked & Answered (FRE 403)
147:11	147:18	Compound
148:5	148:6	Improper Characterization
148:9	148:14	
		Lack of foundation (FRE 602);
148:22	149:4	Mischaracterizes testimony;
		Compound (FRE 611(a)).
149:14	149:17	
150:24	151:3	
151:8	152:5	
152:9	152:17	
163:6	163:12	Compound
164:8	164:19	
184:19	185:5	
188:11	188:13	Asked & Answered (FRE 403)
227:23	228:4	Relevance.
228:14	228:16	Relevance.
230:25	231:12	Relevance.
232:2	232:3	Relevance; Lack of foundation (FRE 602)
232:5	232:6	Relevance.
243:24	244:1	Not Testimony

GUC Trust and New GM's Counter Designations of the Deposition Testimony of Matthew J. Williams and the Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections Thereto

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
<b>GUC Trust and New GM</b>		Plaintiffs and Participating
Counter-Designation	Counter-Designation	Unitholders' Objections to
Begin	End	<b>Counter Designations</b>
		This designation (counter or not) is
		incomplete in and of itself (106);
		even if it were "complete" by itself,
		this is not a proper valid counter-
16:9	16:14	designation to any of the content
		affirmatively designated (FRE 106);
		hearsay (FRE 801, 802); lacks
		foundation/ personal knowledge
		(FRE 601, 602)
		Incomplete (FRE106); does not
		"complete" anything that in fairness
16:17	16.10	should be introduced
10:17	16:18	contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE106);
		lacks foundation/personal
		knowledge
28:23	29:13	Impermissible hearsay (FRE 802)
		Lack of foundation, calls for
30:1	30:5	speculation (FRE 602)
		Not a valid counter-designation, as
		fairness/completeness does not
		require that this be considered
46:16	46:22	contemporaneously with any of the
		affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		Lack of foundation, calls for
		speculation (FRE 602)
59:11	59:13	Improper record objection (FRE
		401-403)
60:1	60:13	Impermissible hearsay (FRE 802)
		Lacks foundation/personal knowledge (FRE 601, 602);
		improper counter-designation
63:17	63:20	(completeness / fairness does not
	03.20	require inclusion of this designation
		with the affirmatively designated
		content) (FRE 106)).
CA 1	(12	Lacks foundation/personal
64:1	64:3	knowledge (FRE 601, 602);

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM Counter-Designation Begin	1's Counter Designations  Counter-Designation  End	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to Counter Designations
		improper counter-designation (completeness / fairness does not require inclusion of this designation with the affirmatively designated content) (FRE 106)).
67:9	67:10	Improper record objection (FRE 401-403)
79:21	79:24	,
84:3	84:6	This is not a valid counter- designation and furthermore is incomplete and out of context by itself (FRE 106); Relevance (FRE 401-403); lacks foundation (FRE 601, 602).
94:3	94:6	Not a valid counter-designation and completeness/fairness does not require its inclusion contemporaneously with the affirmatively designated testimony (106); hearsay (FRE 801, 802).
94:7	94:9	Not a valid counter-designation and completeness/fairness does not require its inclusion contemporaneously with the affirmatively designated testimony(106); hearsay (FRE 801, 802).
94:21	95:3	
95:6	96:15	
97:15	98:1	Not a valid counter-designation to the affirmatively designated content (FRE 106); Lack of foundation, calls for expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702); calls for speculation (FRE 602)
98:21	99:5	Impermissible hearsay (FRE 802)
99:14	99:14	1
99:17	100:11	Relevance (FRE 401); Lack of foundation, calls for expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702); Calls for speculation (FRE 602); incomplete

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM	l's Counter Designations	Plaintiffs and Participating
Counter-Designation	Counter-Designation	Unitholders' Objections to
Begin	End	Counter Designations
		(FRE 106); not a valid counter- designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
100:20	100:25	Relevance (FRE 401); Lack of foundation, calls for expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702); Calls for speculation (FRE 602); incomplete (FRE 106); not a valid counterdesignation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).  Relevance (FRE 401); Lack of
101:12	101:17	foundation, calls for expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702); Calls for speculation (FRE 602); incomplete (FRE 106); not a valid counterdesignation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
126:20	127:3	Not a valid counter-designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); impermissible hearsay (FRE 802); relevance (FRE 401).
127:4	128:7	Not a valid counter-designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); impermissible hearsay (FRE 802); relevance (FRE 401).
128:10	128:14	Not a valid counter-designation, as

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM Counter-Designation Begin	I's Counter Designations Counter-Designation End	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to Counter Designations
		neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); impermissible hearsay (FRE 802); relevance (FRE 401-403)
128:15	129:2	Not a valid counter-designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires that this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); impermissible hearsay (FRE 802); Relevance (FRE 401); Lack of foundation, calls for expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702)
130:5	130:14	Incomplete/ not a valid counter- designation under FRE 106; Lack of foundation, calls for expert testimony (FRE 602, 701, 702)
134:21	135:5	Hearsay (FRE 801, 802); lack of foundation/ personal knowledge (FRE 601, 602); incomplete (FRE 106); not a proper counterdesignation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
135:7	135:18	Hearsay (FRE 801, 802); lack of foundation/ personal knowledge (FRE 601, 602); incomplete (FRE 106); not a proper counterdesignation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
136:5	136:9	Lacks foundation/ personal knowledge (FRE 601, 602)
138:20	139:1	Incomplete in and of itself (FRE 106); not a proper counter-

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
<b>Counter-Designation</b>	1's Counter Designation  Counter-Designation  End	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to Counter Designations
Begin	Eliu	
		designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106); calls for speculation (FRE
		602)
		Incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106); not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
142:4	143:8	nor fairness requires this be
142.4	143.6	considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106); lack of foundation, calls for
		expert opinion (FRE 602, 701, 702)
		Incomplete and out-of-context in
		and of itself (FRE 106); not a proper
117.10		counter-designation, as neither
145:10	145:24	completeness nor fairness requires
		that this be considered
		contemporaneously with the
		affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		Incomplete in and of itself (FRE 106); not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
146:9	147:7	nor fairness requires this be
140.9	147.7	considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106).
		Incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106); not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
147:21	148:4	nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106).
		Improper record objection (FRE
149:5	149:10	401-403); not a valid counter-
		designation because neither
		completeness nor fairness requires
		this be considered
		contemporaneously with the

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM Counter-Designation	1's Counter Designations Counter-Designation	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to
Begin	End	Counter Designations
	Ziiu	affirmative designations (FRE 106)
		Incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106); not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
153:24	154:5	nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106).
		Improper counter-designation
154:8	155:2	(FRE106) (does not complete any
134.6	155.2	content affirmatively designated by);
		Lack of foundation (FRE 602)
		Lack of foundation, speculation
		(FRE 602); not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
155:15	155:25	nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106).
		Incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106); not a proper counter-
15615	157.05	designation, as neither completeness
156:15	157:25	nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE
		106). Relevance (FRE 401-403); hearsay
158:1	159:24	(801, 802)
		This is not a proper counter-
		designation, as neither completeness
		nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
162:7	163:2	the affirmative designations (FRE
		106); moreover, on its own, this
		excerpt is out of context and
		incomplete (FRE 106)
		This is not a proper counter-
164:20	164:25	designation, as neither completeness
		nor fairness requires this be
		considered contemporaneously with
		the affirmative designations (FRE

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM Counter-Designation Begin	I's Counter Designation  Counter-Designation  End	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to Counter Designations
208		106); moreover, on its own, this excerpt is out of context and incomplete (FRE 106); hearsay (FRE 801, 802).
166:3	166:25	This is not a proper counter-designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); moreover, on its own, this excerpt is out of context and incomplete (FRE 106); hearsay (FRE 801, 802).
167:7	167:9	Incomplete in and of itself (FRE 106); not a proper counter-designation, as neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
186:2	187:13	Calls for hearsay (FRE 802); incomplete (answer designated without the preceding question) (FRE 106); not a proper counterdesignation because neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106); speculative/lacks foundation (FRE 601, 602).
187:21	188:6	Calls for speculation (FRE 602); incomplete (answer designated without the preceding question) (FRE 106); not a proper counterdesignation because neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered contemporaneously with the affirmative designations (FRE 106).
191:13	192:3	Incomplete (FRE 106); not a proper

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
GUC Trust and New GM Counter-Designation	,	Plaintiffs and Participating Unitholders' Objections to
Begin	End	<b>Counter Designations</b>
		counter-designation, as neither
		completeness nor fairness requires
		this be considered
		contemporaneously with the
		affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		improper record objection (FRE 401-403).
		Incomplete (answer designated
		without the preceding question)
		(FRE 106); not a proper counter-
		designation because neither
192:20	193:5	completeness nor fairness requires
13 21.20	15010	this be considered
		contemporaneously with the
		affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		speculation; more prejudicial than
		probative (FRE 401-403).
		Not a proper counter-designation, as
		neither completeness nor fairness requires this be considered
193:9	193:10	contemporaneously with the
173.7	193:10	affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106).
		Not a proper counter-designation, as
		neither completeness nor fairness
		requires this be considered
193:15	102-20	contemporaneously with the
193.13	193:20	affirmative designations (FRE 106);
		incomplete in and of itself (FRE
		106); speculation / lack of
		foundation (FRE 601, 602).
198:14	199:14	Calls for hearsay (FRE 802)
200:1		Calls for hearsay (FRE 802);
	201:9	Objection to form vague and
		ambiguous (FRE 611(a)); Objection
		to the form confusing (FRE 611(a));
		Objection to the form compound
201.10	202.4	(FRE 611(a))
201:18	202:4	Calls for hearsay (FRE 802)
228:17	229:3	

## 09-50026 mg DOC 14188-17 Filed 1205/17 Entered 1205/17 24:55:47 Exhibit P Pg 120f 12

Matthew J. Williams November 13 and 20, 2017		
<b>GUC Trust and New GM</b>	I's Counter Designations	Plaintiffs and Participating
<b>Counter-Designation</b>	Counter-Designation	Unitholders' Objections to
Begin	End	Counter Designations
239:24	240:19	Calls for hearsay (FRE 802); Calls
239.24	240.19	for speculation (FRE 602)
		Calls for hearsay (FRE 802); Lack
		of personal knowledge (FRE 602);
242:23	243:23	Calls for speculation (FRE 602);
		Objection to form, asked and
		answered (FRE 611(a))
244:2	244:3	